Peer Review Process Evaluation (to be completed by each panel member)

The Peer Review Council (PRC) would like to take this opportunity to thank you for participating in peer review. We would appreciate your open suggestions about the process. This form should be completed and submitted to the PRC chair at the end of the summative year. The PRC members will review this information to identify strengths and any problem areas, and to facilitate ongoing development of the overall process. Your feedback will remain anonymous.

Please choose one of the following indicating the extent to which you agree with each of the following statements:

		Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly
		Disagree				Agree
1.	The fall in-service orientation was important in helping me understand the peer review process better.					
2.	The peer review process contains enough observations and panel meetings during the year.					
3.	The peer review objectives are clearly outlined in the Peer Review Handbook.					
4.	My supervisor has a good understanding of the peer review process.					
5.	Other faculty members in my department have a good understanding of the peer review process.					
6.	I would recommend becoming a peer review panel member to other faculty in my division.					
7.	I would volunteer to be on a peer review panel again if the opportunity arises.					

(continued on the next page)

Please indicate to what extent you believe the goals of peer evaluation were met:

	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly
	Disagree				Agree
To foster cooperation leading to excellence of the faculty at Johnson County Community College (JCCC)					
To promote collaboration through instructional, collegial, and professional support					
To give experienced faculty a voice in faculty employment decisions					

In wha	at ways coul	ld your PRC	representative	be more he	lpfu	I to you and	the rest of	f your panel?
--------	--------------	-------------	----------------	------------	------	--------------	-------------	---------------

What are one or two things you would like to see changed within the peer review process?

Are there other parts of the peer review process that you would like to see addressed in this evaluation form?